## CONFECTIONERY SUNFLOWER IN CHINA AND AGRONOMIC CHARACTERS OF MAIN CULTIVAR

Liang guo-zhen, Institute of Crop Breeding, Liaoning, Academy of Agricultural Science, Shenyand, P.R. CHINA

Confectionery sunflower, one of the important cash crops in semiarid and sand-wing region of China, is widely grown covering an area fo 80% of sunflower which had been cultivated. The coefficient of variance and the ranges of variance of the main phenotypic agronomic characters of 124 varieties and lines what we use today have been shown in the paper. The proper order of C.v.from large to small are hundred seed weight, plant height, seed length, stem diameter, leaf number, head diameter, protein content in seed, husk percentage and days of growth. It was calculated that plant height was 202.5 cm  $\pm$  37.27, stem diameter was 2.82 cm  $\pm$  0.34, leaf number was 29.0  $\pm$  3.43 hundred sead weight was 10.44  $\pm$  2.81, seed length was 1.82  $\pm$  0.95 head diameter was 20.85 cm  $\pm$  1.98, days of growth was 96.63  $\pm$  3.13 and husk percentage was 43.68 %  $\pm$  4.01. for the most cultivated confectionery sunflower varieties.

Yield comparison of eleven cultivated sufnlower varieties showed significant or highly significant difference among most of them. The highest yielding variety nearly doubled the lowest yielding one. And this showed the necessity and the possibility of employing fine varieties in production today in China.

## Introduction

Sunflower was introduces to China about early seventies century. On the qun fan pu 'which is a chinese book report that it was called 'xi pen lian'or' zhang ging', perhaps it has introduced into Europe then it had been spread to China.

Although sunflower has been planted small areas many years age and nearly all country was planted after introduction of confectionery sunflower. Ecological types in the difference regions were formed gradually, because of planting confection sunflower in garden long time. Until the sixties, following oilseed sunflower has spreaded widely in over the world and planted areas of sunflower had expanded in China and planting area of sunflower was planed by the Chinese goverment in 1979.

Confectionery sunflower production occupies an important place in the chinese production of sunflower. Sunflower production areas were distributed to Northerne region of China such as yellow river old valley Northeast part of China, northern part in Xin Qand province as well as southern part of China is sall areas planted.

According to incomplate statistics, in Northease three provinces anda 87.97 ha. in wich confection sunflower area occupied more than 80%. Total plant sunflower areas were 31.79 ha at Hi Long Jiang province in 1985, among them confection holded over 85%. At Pa Meng region in Nei Monggol sold confectionery sunflower seeds one hundred seven thousands five million kilogramme in 1985. Confectionery sunflower is a rich nutrition food which contains protein and potossium, iron, sedium higher than other grains. It becomed important economic crop at Northern part of China.

Performece of agronomic character

According to the use, confection sunflower is one type that belong to the cultivated sunflower (H.annuus L.). Due to difference of economic purpose, people has demanded a different for the types of sufnlower. It is well know that confection sunflower kernel has a higher content protein and higher hull ration, but oilseeds sunflower contrarily. To understand total situation of agronomic character of confectio sunflower, and to confirm suitable for select standard of

character so that will offer some breeding materials. Present trial used 124 cultivar of confection sufnlower which were introduced from over China in 1983-1985. The trial was conducted at Ma Guan Qiao, DOng Ling, Shenyang, in 1984-1985. The 124 cultivars were sown in plots that consisted of three rows with 5. Om long and 0.6 m wide apart. The type of soil was loam clay. The following characters were measured and recorded, date of emergence, budding, flowing, mature; plant height, stem diameter, head diameter, number of leaves, 1000 seed weight, kernel, percentage, seed protein content and yield of plot. Data in table 1 and table 2 shown the results tested.
Supplement trial of yield comparison were conducted with 11 cultivars in 1985. The testing used randomized complete block with three replicating. Each plot consisted of 4 rows which was 10 m long and 0.6 m. wide apart. There are 10 plant was calculated and analysis of variance were conducted as well as yield difference comparisons.

## Results and discussion

- 1. Data in table 2 shown a relativo magnituded of variance range each character of phenotype and among them variance. Magnitudes of variation coefficient of 9 mainly agronomic characters were successively 1000 seed weight > plant height > seed lengh > stem diameter> number of leaves> head diameter> protein percentage in seed > kernel percentage > day of growth.
- 2. On the data in table 1.2. understanded a total situation of mainly agronomic characters for present confectionery sunflower cultivar. Its plant height was 202.5  $\pm$  37.27 cm, stem diameter was 2.82  $\pm$  0.34 cm, number of leaves was 29.0  $\pm$  3.43, 1000 seeds weight was 10.44  $\pm$  2.81 g, seed lengh was 1.82  $\pm$  0.95 cm, head diameter was 20.85  $\pm$  1.98 cm, days of growth was 96.66  $\pm$  3.13, kernel percentage was 56.32  $\pm$  4.01, protein percentage in seed was 24.2  $\pm$  2.56.

Table 1 - Agronomic charactersof main cultivars of confection sunflower

|   |              | <b></b>  |                                       |                                 |                                 |
|---|--------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| protein<br>in seed  | 37.6         | 30.5<br>34.0                                       | 37.6<br>35.4                          | 31.5<br>32.2                    | 37.7<br>33.5                    |
| kernel<br>percen-<br>tage<br>\$                                   | 57           | 52.3   | 64.0                                  | 54,0                            | 51,1<br>55,3                    |
|   | 95           | 101  | 97                                    | 102                             | 96<br>86                        |
| seed date of length gro-  | 1.74         | 1.9  | 1.7                                   | 1,76                            | 1,82                            |
|   | 132          | 129.   | 120                                   | 81                              | 100                             |
| <u> </u>  | 19.5         | 25.3   | 17.0                                  | 19.0                            | 16.8                            |
| stem number head<br>diame-of lea- of di<br>ter ves amete<br>cm cm | 29.2         | 29.7<br>33.6                                       | 37.0                                  | 32.9                            | 29.1                            |
|   | 2.36         | 3.2  | 3.98                                  | 2.98                            | 3.17                            |
| plant stem<br>hei- diame-<br>ght ter<br>cm cm                     | 138          | 245.<br>224.0                                      | 215.0                                 | 233.                            | 216                             |
|   | 31.July      | 13 Jul 7. Aug. 245. 2.9                            | 5.Aug. 215.0 3.98<br>8.Aug. 210.0 3.6 | 17.Jul. 13.Aug                  | 8.Jul. 12.Aug<br>16.Jul. 11.Aug |
| date of<br>flowing  | 29.Jul. 3    | 13 Jul.<br>12 Jul.                                 | 8. Jul.<br>17. Jul.                   | 17 Jul. 1                       |                                 |
| date of<br>budding  | i 3. Ju.     | 22.ju<br>17.ju                                     | 16.Ju                                 | 23.Ju<br>19.Ju                  | 16.Ju.<br>18.Ju.                |
| date of emer-   | 28.Ap.       | 4.May<br>4.May                                     | 30.AP.<br>10.May                      | 4.May<br>7.may                  | 4.May                           |
| date of<br>sown   | 15.Ap.       | 19.Ap.   | 15.Ap.<br>15.Ap.                      | 19.Ap.<br>23.Ap.                | 23.Ap.                          |
| cultivar  | valley<br>ke | hei zui 19.Ap.<br>cui hua <sub>19.Ap</sub> .<br>ke | chang<br>ling ke<br>hun<br>nanke      | fu xin<br>ke<br>jin pin-<br>gke | zi kua<br>hua<br>cheng<br>deke  |
| province  | NeiMoggol    | Hei lei<br>giang                                   | Ji Lin                                | Liaoning                        | He Bei                          |

Table 2 - Field record and agronomic character statistics of planting 124 confection sunflower in 1984-85

| _                                    | _                      |                 |          |              |         |               |                        |            |                        |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|---------|---------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| value of lowest<br>and highest<br>84 |                        | lowest<br>phest |          | 84 <u>84</u> | average | dirre<br>stan | dirrerence of standard | va<br>coel | variance<br>coeficient |
| 9 5.8-19.7 4.0-16.9                  |                        |                 |          | 11.71        | 9.17    | 2.85          | 2.77                   | 24.41      | 30.24                  |
| cm 12.7-27.2 134-265                 | 12.7-27.2 134-265      |                 |          | 191.6        | 213.5   | 37.4          | 37.2                   | 19.5       | 17.4                   |
| cm 1.3-2.3 0.96-2.2 1                | 1.3-2.3 0.96-2.2       |                 | -        | 1.86         | 1.78    | 1.66          | 0.24                   | 12.4       | 13.45                  |
| cm 1.28-3.6 2.2-3.6 2                | 1.28-3.6 2.2-3.6       |                 | 7        | 2.74         | 2.90    | 0.37          | 0.32                   | 13.61      | 11.30                  |
| 19.0-45 23.7-35.2 2                  | 23.7-35.2              | ┪               | 7        | 29.0         | 29.0    | 4.2           | 2.66                   | 14.15      | 8.91                   |
| 8 45.0-69 51.1-61 6                  | 45.0-69 51.1-61        |                 | 9        | 65.2         | 56.46   | 5.03          | 2.99                   | 8.96       | 5.44                   |
| 8 16.36-24:9, 17.67.21.8 19          | 16:36-24:9. 17.67.21.8 |                 |          | 19.6         | 19.73   | 2.09          | 3.03                   | 10.65      | 11.70                  |
| cm 16.0-3.0 16.8-23.1 2              | 16.8-23.1              | ╁               | 7        | 21.57        | 20.13   | 2.66          | 1.30                   | 12.33      | 84.9                   |
| 92-105 88-103 98                     | 88-103                 |                 | <u>8</u> | 0.86         | 95.33   | 3.48          | 2.79                   | 3.55       | 2.92.                  |
|                                      |                        |                 |          | •            |         |               |                        |            |                        |

Table 3- comparision of differences in 11 cultivar yield

| cultivar | kg/ha  | average<br>yield<br>in plot<br>kg |  |
|----------|--------|-----------------------------------|--|
| ke 1     | 2013.8 | 6.04                              |  |
| ke 2     | 1930.5 | 5.79                              | 0.25   |
| ke 3     | 1580.2 | 4.74                              | 1.3** 1.05*  |
| ke 4     | 1467.0 | 4.4                               | 1.64 1.39 0,34   |
| ke 5     | 1430.3 | 4.29                              | 1.75** 1.50** 0,45 0,11  |
| ke 6     | 1430.3 | 4.29                              | 1.75** 1.50** 0.45 0.11 0.0                                    |
| ke 7     | 1331.5 | 4.01                              | 2.03** 1.76** 0.73 0.39 0.28 0.28                              |
| ke 8     | 1280.3 | 3.84                              | 2.02** 1.95** 0.90 0.56 0.45 0.45 0.17                         |
| ke 9     | 1246.5 | 3.74                              | 2.3** 205** 1.00 0.66 0.55 0.55 0.27 0.10                      |
| ke 10    | 1096.5 | 3.29                              | 2.75 ** 2.50 ** 1.45 ** 1.11 ** 1.00 ** 1.00 ** 0.72 0.55 0.45 |
| ke 11    | 1010.3 | 3.03                              | 3.01** 2.76** 1.71** 1.37** 1.26** 0.98* 0.81* 0.71 0.26       |

3. Yielding comparison data in table 3 has indicated yielding heigh cultivars is twice fold heiger than the yield of lower cultivar. A Number of present cultivars were a significant of yielding comparison differece. On the preceding character performance. We can know that present cultivar yield was a lower level, good agronomic characters and excellent yield confection cultivars were needed in the sunflower production recently. Prosent trial given that it is possibility to select excellent cultivar.