

IN VIVO DIGESTIBILITY AND NUTRITIVE CHARACTERISTICS OF DIETS CONTAINING ALMOND HULLS SUPPLEMENTED WITH UNDECORTICATED SUNFLOWER OILSEED MEAL¹

PINTO F., VICENTI A., MARSICO G., VONGHIA G., MONTEMURRO O.

(Department of Animal Production - University of Bari. Via Amendola 165/A 70126 Bari. ITALY)

SUMMARY

Four *in vivo* digestibility tests have been carried out on rams of Gentile di Puglia breed fed *ad libitum* on the following diets: almond hulls alone (AH), AH and soyabean oilseed meal, undecorticated sunflower oilseed meal (USUM) and AH, undecorticated safflower oilseed meal (USAM) and AH. The research has shown that AH cannot be given alone to sheep due to its low proteic content and the reduced C.U.D.% of some nutritive principles. The diets containing this by-product supplemented with either sunflower oilseed meal or safflower oilseed meal have presented good results as regards C.U.D.% of both nutritive principles and the nutritive value of the diet. The best results have been obtained when utilizing sunflower oilseed meal.

INTRODUCTION

In the general view of the recovery of agricultural by-products for animal feeding, an important role is attached to almond hulls (Sequeira and Law, 1970; Buttery et al., 1980; Saura Calixto et al., 1983; Alibes et al., 1983; Aquilar et al., 1984; Pinto et al., 1989; Vonghia et al., 1989; 1992) which, even though poor in proteins and rich crude fibre (Saura Calixto and Canellas, 1982; Pinto et al., 1989), can be successfully utilized in diets for ruminants if only supplemented with proteic feeds (Pinto et al., 1989; 1990; Vonghia et al., 1989). Among the latter great importance is attached to sunflower oilseed meal, not only for its availability in our country, but also for the good quality of proteins and the lack of toxic and antinutritional substances (Rahma and Narasinga Rao, 1979; Galoppini and Costanzi, 1981; Galoppini and Fiorentini, 1981; Jaya et al., 1981).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In order to evaluate the opportunity of utilizing in ruminant feeding oilseed meals as proteic supplementation in diets based on agricultural by-products, it has seemed useful to determine the digestibility and the nutritive value of four isoproteic diets. The first was made up of almond hulls alone (AH); the second contained 20% of soyabean oilseed meal and 80% of AH; the third 50% of AH and 50% of undecorticated sunflower oilseed meal (USUM); the fourth 50% of AH and 50% of undecorticated safflower oilseed meal (USAM). The chemical characteristics of the diets and the feeds are reported in table 1.

The experimental methodologies utilized are the ones suggested by the A.S.P.A. (1980, 1982), whereas the nutritive characteristics of feeds were calculated according to I.N.R.A. indications (1978). The obtained data have been subjected to the analysis of variance by-utilizing the following statistical method (Pilla, 1985):

$$Y_{ij} = M + A_i + E_{ij}$$

(1) Research supported by funds MURST 60%. The Authors shared alike.

where M = general mean;

A_i = effect due to the ith diet;

E_{ij} = residual error.

The difference among the estimated means have been compared by Student's "t" (S.A.S., 1985)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The lower and significant ($P < 0,01$) food consumptions have been observed in rams fed on diets containing both USAM and AH alone and this can be due to the bitter flavour of the feed for the presence of phenolic glucosides (Lyon et al., 1970). Between these diets, above all as concerns the consumption of dry and organic matter, no valid differences have been observed. On the contrary, these differences are significant ($P < 0,01$) when considering crude protein, crude fat, ashes and N-free fraction.

Equal statistics have been observed between the diet containing soyabean oilseed meal and the diet containing USUM. For most nutritive principles of these two diets, the significance of the differences observed reaches levels of 99%.

Table 1 - Chemical composition of feeds and mixtures (% d.m.).

	<i>Almond hulls</i>	<i>Soyabean oilseed meal</i>	<i>Sunflower meal</i>	<i>Sunflower meal</i>	<i>Almond hulls + soyabean oilseed meal</i>	<i>Almond hulls + sunflower</i>	<i>Almond hulls + safflower</i>
Moisture	13,73	19,94	11,01	11,13	14,77	12,37	12,35
Crude protein (N x 6,25)	5,95	47,56	16,62	28,14	12,91	14,62	11,37
Ether extract	3,16	2,04	14,01	18,16	2,97	9,53	8,67
Ash	12,26	6,87	2,91	5,80	11,36	10,25	7,51
Crude fibre	18,65	7,07	30,77	21,81	16,71	23,00	24,80
N-free extract	59,98	36,46	35,69	26,09	56,05	42,60	47,65
N.D.F.	39,71	13,55	43,11	33,80	35,34	34,52	41,43
A.D.F.	39,33	10,09	35,00	21,83	34,44	21,49	37,13
A.D.L.	20,65	1,17	12,12	7,93	17,39	2,98	16,32
A.I.A.	0,74	0,39	1,29	-	0,68	-	1,02
N.D.S.	60,29	86,45	56,89	66,20	64,66	65,48	58,57
Hemicellulose	0,38	3,46	8,11	11,97	0,90	13,03	4,30
Cellulose	17,94	8,53	21,59	13,90	16,37	18,51	19,79

Table 2 - Digestibility coefficients.

	<i>Almond hulls</i>	<i>Almond hulls+ soyabean oilseed meal</i>	<i>Almond hulls+ sunflower</i>	<i>Almond hulls+ safflower</i>	M.S.E. (G.L. = 14)
Dry matter	43,45	b 41,63	a 49,89	47,28	22,75
Organic matter	43,23	b 41,42	a 50,36	47,72	22,90
Crude protein (N x 6,25)	C - 17,92	B 30,83	A 55,89	A 55,06	104,39
Ether extract	C - 1,86	B 33,72	A 79,36	A 83,48	32,27
Ash	45,11	43,39	45,91	41,94	26,38
Crude fibre	b 17,93	Bc 5,60	Aa 32,43	bc 13,08	57,64
N-free extract	59,46	a 54,79	b 52,94	57,49	14,58
N.D.F.	B 12,35	C - 9,65	A 41,35	B 7,02	67,31
A.D.F.	B 12,24	C - 11,57	A 31,41	B 5,18	62,19
A.D.L.	B - 5,48	C - 50,01	A 64,15	B - 15,42	108,27
A.L.A.	A 52,61	C - 47,90	ABa 39,02	Bb 12,20	306,91
N.D.S.	B 64,09	B 67,78	C 55,42	A 75,77	12,06
Hemicellulose	13,73	54,14	67,19	22,94	2011,65
Cellulose	A 30,36	A 28,07	B 0,49	A 21,80	45,77

Table 3 - Nutritive characteristics.

	Almond hulls	Almond hulls+ soyabean oilseed meal	Almond hulls + sunflower	Almond hulls + safflower
G.E. (MJ/Kg d.m.)	16,5310	17,0710	19,0790	18,4390
dE	0,3984	0,3858	0,4450	0,3950
D.E. (MJ/Kg d.m.)	6,5860	6,5860	8,4980	7,2760
M.E. (MJ/Kg s.s.)	5,389	5,376	6,999	5,937
Milk F.U. /Kg d.m.	0,4030	0,4000	0,5330	0,4430
Meat F.U./Kg d.m..	0,3006	0,2936	0,4190	0,3290
N.L.	0,6800	1,0200	1,6710	0,8800

Table 4 - Live weight, metabolic weight and daily intake (dry matter and digestible protein).

	Almond hulls	Almond hulls+ soyabean oilseed meal	Almond hulls + sunflower	Almond hulls + safflower
Initial live weight (Kg)	62,22	65,75	65,68	57,45
Metabolic weight (Kg/l w. ^{0,75})	22,15	23,09	23,070	20,87
Total dry matter (g)	1019,33	1627,54	1996,87	920,12
Dry matter (g/Kg l.w. ^{0,75}).	46,01	70,49	86,56	44,09
Total digestible protein (g)	10,02	78,54	152,31	57,60
Digestible protein (g/Kg l.w. ^{0,75})	0,49	3,40	6,60	2,76

The observed digestibility coefficients (C.U.D.%) of many nutritive principles even though with a different level of statistical validity ($P < 0,01$) result lower when the animals are fed on AH alone, probably due to its low proteic and lipidic content (Table 2)., The best and significative digestibility performances ($P < 0,05$) for dry and organic matter and N-free fraction have been observed in rams fed on AH and USUM than in the ones receiving the diet containing soyabean meal. This group of animals presents, in general, the best digestive utilization of crude protein, crude fat, ashes, crude fibre and several fibrous fractions, even though the observed differences with the other diets not always reach valid levels of statistical significance. The higher ingestion in nutritive principles, even referring to the metabolic weight of the animals (Table 4) and the best digestive utilization of the same present in the USUM diet have determined a higher content in D.E., M.E., and a higher nutritive value in milk F.U. and meat F.U.

CONCLUSIONS

The trial has put in evidence that AH appropriately supplemented can be an useful by-product for ruminant feeding. In particular, the supplementation of the diet with USUM determines, above all in comparison with the one containing soyabean meal, an improvement of both the digestive utilization of most nutritive principles and the nutritive value. At the light of these results, it can be affirmed that USUM can be successfully utilized in diets for ruminants.

REFERENCES

- 1) AQUILAR A.A., SMITH N.E., BALDWIN R.C., (1984) - Nutritional value of almond hulls for dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 67, 97-103.
- 2) ALIBES A.A., MAESTRE M.R., MUNOZ F., CAMBELLAS J., RODRIGUEZ J., (1983) - Nutritive value of almond hulls for sheep. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 8, 63-67.
- 3) A.S.P.A. (1980) - Commissione Valutazione Alimenti, *Zoot.Nutr.Anim.*, VI, 19.
- 4) A.S.P.A. (1982) - Commissione Valutazione Alimenti, *Zoot.Nutr.Anim.*, VIII, 387.
- 5) BUTTERY R.G., SODERSTROM EDWIN L., SEIFERT RICHARD M., LING LOUISA C., HADDON WILLIAM F. (1980) - Components of almond hulls: possible navel orangeworm attractants and growth inhibitors. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 28, 353-356.
- 6) GALOPPINI C., COSTANZI M. (1981) - Caratteristiche analitiche del seme di girasole e della farina disoleata. *Agric. Ital.*, 110, 291-298.
- 7) GALOPPINI C., FIORENTINI R. (1981) - Composizione del seme di girasole, con particolare riferimento ai costituenti proteici. In "Il Girasole: una fonte di proteine alimentari". C.N.R., Roma, 37-50.
- 8) JAYA T.V., SCARINO M.L., SPADONI M.A., FIDANZA F., MAURIZI A. (1981) - Proprietà nutrizionali dei preparati proteici di girasole. In "Il Girasole: una fonte di proteine alimentari". C.N.R., Roma,, 77-88.
- 9) I.N.R.A. (1980) - Alimentation des ruminants. Ed. I.N.R.A., Publication 78000 Versailles.
- 10) LYON C.K., GUMBMAN M.R., BETSCHART A.A., ROBBINS D.J., SANDERS R.M., (1970) - Removal of deleterious glucosides from safflower meal. *J. Am. Oil. Chem. Soc.*, 56, 560.
- 11) PILLA A.M. (1985) - Metodologia statistica e programmazione degli esperimenti. Ed. Missio, Udine.

- 12) PINTO F., VONGHIA G., CIRUZZI B., MONTEMURRO O., MARSICO G. (1989) - "In vivo" digestibility and nutritive value of undecorticated safflower oilseed, hydraulic extracted, of two mixtures made up of almond meal. Proceedings 2th International Safflower Conference, 359-364, 9-13 January, Hyderabad (INDIA).
- 13) PINTO F., VONGHIA G., CIRUZZI B., LAUDADIO V. (1990) - Composizione chimica e valore nutritivo di farine di estrazione di cartamo non decorticato. *L'Inf. Agr.*, 46, 8, 87-89.
- 14) PINTO F., CIRUZZI B., VONGHIA G., MARSICO G. (1990) - La digeribilità in vivo e le caratteristiche nutritive di razioni contenenti mallo di mandorla integrate con farina di estrazione di cartamo non decorticato e con farina di estrazione di soia. Atti XXV Simposio Internazionale di Zootecnia, 20 aprile, Milano.
- 15) RAHMA E.H., NARASINGA RAO M.S. (1979) - Characterization of safflower proteins. *J. Food Sci.*, 44, 579-582.
- 16) S.A.S. Institute Inc., (1985) - SAS User's Guide: Statistics, Versin 5. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.
- 17) SAURA-CALIXTO F., CANELLAS J. (1982) - Chemical composition of hulls of the sweet almond (*Prunus amygdalus*). *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 33, 336-339.
- 18) SAURA-CALIXTO F., CANELLAS J., GARCIA-RASO J., (1983) - Contents of detergent-extracted dietary fibers and composition of hulls, shells and teguments of almond (*Prunus amygdalus*). *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 18, 5.
- 19) SEQUEIRA R.M., LEW R.B., (1970) - The carbohydrate composition of almond hulls, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 18, 5.
- 20) VONGHIA G., CIRUZZI B., VICENTI A., PINTO F. (1989) - Performance of fattening lambs fed on mixed containing two different levels of almond hulls. 7th International Symposium on Ruminant Physiology, Sendai (JAPAN).
- 21) VONGHIA G., CIRUZZI B., VICENTI A., PINTO F., Marsico G., Melodia L. (1992) - Le prestazioni produttive di agnelli di razza Gentile di Puglia ingrassati con mangimi completi contenenti mallo di mandorla a due differenti livelli. *Agric. Ric.*, 14, 129, 17-22.